1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12 13		
13	MARTIN DULBERG, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
15	No. C 17-00850 WHA Plaintiff,	
16	V.	
17	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and RASIER, LLC,	
18	Defendants. NOTICE RE QUESTIONS FOR THURSDAY'S HEARING	
19	/ THURSDAY'S HEARING	
20	Counsel on both sides shall please be prepared to answer the following questions at	
21	tomorrow's final approval hearing:	
22	1. Based on the currently requested attorney's fees, expenses, and	
23	lead plaintiff award, what is the average amount each class member will recover?	
24	How many class members will receive less than \$5? Less than \$20? More than	
25	\$100?	
2627	2. How many class members will receive nothing?	
28	3. 57 members of the class had been e-mailed and those e-mails	
	hounged healt. What anguifically was done to attempt to re-contact them?	

bounced back. What specifically was done to attempt to re-contact them?

Case 3:17-cv-00850-WHA Document 146 Filed 04/24/19 Page 2 of 2

4.	If this case went to trial, what would be the maximum possible
recovery?	

5. Were the expert reports, for which all of the calculations have been based, prepared for trial or for settlement?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 24, 2019.

